FILED

		A.	
2	Michael Adams (SBN 185835) madams@rutan.com Proud Usahacharoenporn (SBN 278204) pusaha@rutan.com RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP 611 Anton Boulevard, Fourteenth Floor Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931 Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035 Attorneys for Plaintiff Curt Herberts, II	2013 DEC 16 PM 12: 56 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES	
7		PLEATER COLUBIA	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11	CURT HERBERTS, II, an individual doing business as Pacific Coast Realty	CASE NO. EDUVI3-2302-RGK(SR)	
12	Group,	COMPLAINT FOR:	
13	Plaintiff,	1. FALSE DESIGNATION OF	
14	VS.	ORIGIN [15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)]; 2. TRADE NAME OR SERVICE	
15	PACIFIC COAST REALTY GROUP,	MARK DILUTION [15 U.S.C. §	
16	INC., a California corporation; JAMES P. BRENNAN, an individual; and DOES	1125(c)(1)]: 3. INJURY TO BUSINESS	
17	1 through 10, Inclusive,	REPUTATION AND DILUTION [CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE §	
18	Defendants.	14247]; 4. COMMON LAW UNFAIR	
19		COMPETITION AND TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT	
20		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

COMPLAINT

Rutan & Tucker LLP

Plaintiff Curt Herberts, II (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), for his complaint against Pacific Coast Realty Group, Inc., ("PCRG") and James P. Brennan ("Brennan") alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. section 1338(a) as this action arises under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. sections 1125(a) and 1125(c)(1). This Court also has pendent jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. section 1367.
- 2. Venue is proper in the Central District of California under 28 U.S.C. sections 1391(b) and (c) because defendants reside in this judicial district, a substantial part of the events, omissions and acts that are the subject matter of this action occurred within the Central District of California, and defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction and may be found in this district.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is an individual who is a California resident doing business in California as Pacific Coast Realty Group.
- 4. On information and belief, defendant PCRG is a California corporation with its principal place of business at 27450 Ynez Road, #200, Temecula, California 92591.
- 5. On information and belief, defendant Brennan is the controlling shareholder of PCRG.
- 6. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that each of the defendants named herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, performed, participated in, or abetted in some manner, the acts alleged herein, proximately caused the damages alleged below, and are liable to Plaintiff for the damages and relief sought herein.
- 7. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that, in performing the acts and omissions alleged herein, and at all times relevant hereto, each of the defendants was the agent and employee of each of the other defendants and was at all times acting within the course and scope of such agency and employment with the

knowledge and approval of each of the other defendants.

8. The identities of the individuals and entities named as Doe defendants herein are not presently known, but Plaintiff will seek to amend the Complaint to properly identify them when their proper names have been ascertained.

NATURE OF THE CASE

9. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, damages, attorneys' fees, and costs against defendants for false designation of origin and/or sponsorship under 15 U.S.C. section 1125(a); dilution under 15 U.S.C. section 1125(c); injury to business reputation and dilution under California Business and Professions Code section 14247; and common law trademark and trade name infringement, and unfair competition.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 10. Plaintiff is a licensed California real estate broker who has been doing business as Pacific Coast Realty Group since May 1, 1992. Copies of Plaintiff's Fictitious Business Name Statements filed with the County of Orange showing that Plaintiff began using the name on May 1, 1992 are attached hereto as Exhibit A. A copy of an excerpt from the Newport Beach-Costa Mesa Daily Pilot showing the same is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 11. Since May 1992 to the present Plaintiff has continuously used the name Pacific Coast Realty Group in connection with his real estate services. A copy of Plaintiff's State of California Department of Real Estate License Certificate, issued on June 5, 1992 and showing his fictitious business name as Pacific Coast Realty Group, is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 12. Over the last twenty-one years during which Plaintiff used the name Pacific Coast Realty Group, the name has acquired distinctiveness as well as secondary meaning in the minds of consumers in connection to Plaintiff and his real estate services. Plaintiff expended a substantial amount of time and effort on client development and brand recognition through advertising and as a result has

Rutan & Tucker LLP attorneys at law

- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that starting on August 4, 2009 seventeen years after Plaintiff began using the Pacific Coast Realty Group name PCRG and Brennan (hereinafter "Defendants") began providing real estate services using the Pacific Coast Realty Group name.
- Defendants use the Pacific Coast Realty Group name in conducting and promoting their business.
- 14. Defendants are not authorized to use the Pacific Coast Realty Group name in connection with their goods or services, nor are Defendants affiliated with Plaintiff.
- 15. Plaintiff sent cease-and-desist letters to Defendants on September 5, 2013 and October 31, 2013, giving notice of Plaintiff's ownership of the mark "Pacific Coast Realty Group" and demanding that Defendants immediately cease and desist from all uses of the mark in connection with their goods and services.
- 16. Despite Plaintiff's repeated demands, Defendants have failed to cease and desist from all uses of the Pacific Coast Realty Group mark in connection with their goods and services. Defendants continue to use the mark in promoting their business.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unfair Competition by False Designation of Origin – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

- 17. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 16 above, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. Defendants, either independently or through collaboration with one another, are using the Pacific Coast Realty Group mark in connection with their goods and services.
- 19. On information and belief, Defendants use the Pacific Coast Realty Group mark in commerce, which use has been done with the deliberate intent of

Rutan & Tucker LLP attorneys at law 2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 20. The use in commerce of the Pacific Coast Realty Group mark by Defendants will tend to cause and, on information and belief, has caused the relevant public and trade to believe erroneously that Defendants' services are associated, authorized, sponsored, or controlled by Plaintiff.
- 21. Defendants' use in commerce of the Pacific Coast Realty Group mark in connection with their goods and services constitutes a false designation of the origin and/or sponsorship of such goods and services and falsely describes and represents such goods and services.
- 22. By their acts as alleged herein, Defendants have falsely designated and represented goods and services sold in commerce in violation of 15 U.S.C. section 1125(a) and have otherwise used the good will of Plaintiff to sell Defendants' own goods and services and have otherwise competed unfairly with Plaintiff.
- 23. On information and belief, Defendants are now committing the acts complained of above and have continued to do so in defiance of Plaintiff's requests that they cease such acts.
- 24. Defendants, after due notice, have displayed a willful course of conduct toward appropriation and destruction of Plaintiff's rights in and to the Pacific Coast Realty Group mark.
- 25. Defendants' wrongful acts and conduct as alleged herein have permitted or will permit them to generate substantial sales and profits on the strength of Plaintiff's substantial advertising, sales, consumer recognition, and good will in connection with the Pacific Coast Realty Group mark.
- 26. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer monetary damage in an amount not thus far determined.
- 27. On information and belief, Defendants' acts of unfair competition by false designation of origin in violation of the Lanham Act have caused financial

- 28. Defendants' acts and conduct constitute unfair competition that has caused and, unless restrained and enjoined by this Court, will continue to cause irreparable harm, damage, and injury to Plaintiff's good will and business reputation.
- 29. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' actions and conduct and, because such damages alone do not provide Plaintiff with an adequate remedy at law, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Trade Name or Service Mark Dilution – 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1))

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation contained in Paragraphs 1 through 29 above, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Plaintiff's Pacific Coast Realty Group trade name and service mark was used in commerce long before Defendants' adoption and use of the Pacific Coast Realty Group name in connection with their goods and services.
- 32. Plaintiff's Pacific Coast Realty Group trade name and service mark has become famous because of long, extensive, continuous, and exclusive use by Plaintiff in connection with his real estate services, such fame occurring long before Defendants' adoption and use of the Pacific Coast Realty Group name in connection with their goods and services.
- 33. Defendants use the Pacific Coast Realty Group name in promoting their goods and services in the same trade areas and channels of trade in which Plaintiff's Pacific Coast Realty Group trade name and service mark is recognized and famous.
- 34. On information and belief, Defendants' use of the Pacific Coast Realty Group name has lessened the capacity of Plaintiff's famous Pacific Coast Realty

Rutan & Tucker LLP attorneys at law 4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

27

28

dilution of the mark.

2314/099999-0084

taken and continue to be taken with full knowledge that such actions would and do

dilute Plaintiff's Pacific Coast Realty Group mark and with the intention to cause

11/

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for an order and judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. That Defendants, and each of them, their officers, directors, partners, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through or under them, and any others within their control or supervision, and all others in active concert or participation with the above, be enjoined during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from using the designation "Pacific Coast Realty Group" or any other name or mark incorporating Plaintiff's service mark, either alone or in combination with other words or symbols, in the marketing, sales, distribution, promotion, advertising, identification, or in any other manner in connection with any real estate services and other related services at any locality in the United States;
- 2. That Defendants, and each of them, their officers, directors, partners, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through or under them, and any others within their control or supervision, and all others in active concert or participation with the above, be enjoined during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from using the designation "Pacific Coast Realty Group" or any other name or mark incorporating Plaintiff's service mark in any form or manner that would tend to identify or associate Defendants' businesses or services with Plaintiff in the marketing, sale, distribution, promotion, advertising, identification, or in any other manner in connection with any business;
- 3. That Defendants, and each of them, their officers, directors, partners, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, confederates, and all persons acting for, with, by, through or under them, and any others within their control or supervision, and all others in active concert or participation with the above, be enjoined during the pendency of this action and permanently thereafter from representing to anyone

- 4. For an order requiring Defendants to deliver to Plaintiff's attorney within thirty (30) days after the entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction, to be impounded or destroyed by Plaintiff, all literature, signs, labels, prints, packages, wrappers, containers, advertising materials, stationery, and any other items in their possession or control that contain the infringing designation "Pacific Coast Realty Group" or any other name or mark incorporating Plaintiff's service marks, either alone or in combination with other words and symbols;
- 5. For an order requiring Defendants to remove from their business premises within thirty (30) days after the entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction, all instances of the "Pacific Coast Realty Group" designation; to destroy all molds, plates, masters, or means of creating the infringing items; and to file a name change with the California Secretary of State changing the corporate name of Pacific Coast Realty Group, Inc.;
- 6. For an order requiring Defendants to instruct, within thirty (30) days after the entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction, any print directory, Internet directory, or website that they have caused to carry the Pacific Coast Realty Group mark, to cease using such names at the earliest possible date;
- 7. For an order requiring Defendants to file with the Clerk of this Court and serve Plaintiff, within thirty (30) days after the entry of any preliminary or permanent injunction, a report in writing, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which defendants have complied with 1 through 6 above;
- 8. For an award of Defendants' profits and Plaintiff's damages in an amount not yet ascertained, but believed to exceed \$100,000;
- 9. For an award of three times Plaintiff's damages or Defendants' profits in view of the intentional and willful nature of Defendants' acts, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. section 1117;

1	10.	For an award of punitive damages according to proof;		
2	11.	For an award of reasonable attorneys' fees under 15 U.S.C.		
3	section 1117;			
4	12.	For an award of pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest rate		
5	allowed by la	wed by law;		
6	13.	For an award of costs and disbursements incurred in this action; and		
7	14.	For such further relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.		
8				
9	Dated: Dece	ember 16, 2013 RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP MICHAEL D. ADAMS		
10		PROUD USAHACHAROENPORN		
11		By: A		
12		Michael D. Adams Attorneys for Plaintiff		
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff CURT HERBERTS, II		
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Rutan & Tucker LLP attorneys at law

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff CURT HERBERTS, II hereby demands a jury trial in this action. Dated: December 16, 2013 RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP MICHAEL D. ADAMS PROUD USAHACHAROENPORN By: Michael D. Adams Attorneys for Plaintiff CURT HERBERTS, II

Rutan & Tucker LLP attorneys at law

2314/099999-0084

6458565 2 a12/16/13

-12-

COMPLAINT